

*(Laughter.)*

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Pullen, you started all of this. Do you want to finish it?

DELEGATE PULLEN: Mr. Chairman, Mrs. Marx has kindly walked up and offered to give an explanation. I am disturbed that she has not had her say. I think we could conclude this and get back to business.

THE CHAIRMAN: Go ahead, Mrs. Marx.

CHIEF PAGE: How many seconds do I have?

Have any of you ever walked into the House Lounge and seen eighty-six amendments spread out on the table with no identifying label other than the name of the author? And often one delegate would have a dozen amendments below his signature.

Since each amendment offered eventually received a number, the only way to identify these quickly was to alphabetize them, starting with the simple alphabet and going on to compound letters. Each delegate who wrote an amendment received several copies as soon as they reached me. He therefore, could identify his amendment by letter when offering it on the floor, enabling me to hand it out quickly to the delegates.

THE CHAIRMAN: That, I may add, is one of the reasons why the page system works so well because of Mrs. Marx.

*(Applause.)*

THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Mudd's amendment which is not printed would change the date July 1, 1968, in line 49 on page 25 to June 30, 1968.

Is there any objection to considering the amendment even though not printed?

The Chair hears none.

This will be Amendment No. 9.

The Clerk will read the amendment.

READING CLERK: Amendment No. 9 to Committee Recommendation GP-13 by Delegate Mudd: On page 25, section 24, Pension of Former Judges, in line 49 strike out the following: "July 1" and insert in lieu thereof the following: "June 30".

THE CHAIRMAN: The amendment is submitted by Delegate Mudd.

The amendment is seconded.

The Chair recognizes Delegate Mudd.

DELEGATE MUDD: Mr. Chairman and fellow delegates, the purpose of this amendment is purely technical, to key the date to before the effective date of the new constitution, and to provide that these pensions of former judges be geared to the last day before the enactment or the effective date of the new constitution. I would appreciate your support of this amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN: Is there any discussion.

*(There was no response.)*

Are you ready for the question?

*(Call for the question.)*

The Clerk will ring the quorum bell.

The question arises on the adoption of Amendment No. 9 to Committee Recommendation GP-13.

A vote Aye is a vote in favor of Amendment No. 9. A vote No is a vote against.

Cast your vote.

Has every delegate voted? Does any delegate desire to change his vote?

*(There was no response.)*

The Clerk will record the vote.

There being 85 votes in the affirmative and none in the negative, the motion carries. The amendment is adopted.

Delegate Rybczynski, do you desire to offer your amendment S to section 24?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: You told me that the amendment as to spouse would not be necessary if the Fornos amendments were adopted.

THE CHAIRMAN: It does not go to section 24. Do you want to pass it until you see the Fornos amendments?

DELEGATE RYBCZYNSKI: Yes, sir.

THE CHAIRMAN: Very well.

Any amendments to section 25, 26, 27, 28, 29. There are several amendments to section 30.

Delegate Clagett, you are the leading sponsor on two amendments. Are they designed as alternates, C and E, and on Amendment C, all to the same section?

DELEGATE CLAGETT: Mr. Chairman, we eventually arrived at V for Victory which is the result of —

THE CHAIRMAN: Scratch E and V.